EFFECT OF DIFFERENT DOSES OF NITROGEN ON THE YIELD OF DIFFERENT COTTON VARIETIES

Muhammad Aslam, Ashiq Hussain Sanghi, Laila Khalid* and Shamaun Javed

Department of Adaptive Research Farm, Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

An investigation to study the effect of nitrogen @ (100, 150 and 200 kg ha⁻¹) and cotton approved varieties (viz. CIM-506, CIM-496, NIAB-111 and BH-160) on the yield and yield components was conducted at Adaptive Research Farm, Rahim Yar Khan during 2005-2007 for three years. The levels of nitrogen and cotton varieties significantly affected the plant height, number of mature bolls plant⁻¹, seed cotton weight boll⁻¹, and seed cotton yield ha⁻¹. The interaction between nitrogen levels and cotton varieties was non-significant for plant height and number of mature bolls plant⁻¹ while it was significant for boll weight and seed cotton yield ha⁻¹. Application of nitrogen @ 200 kg ha⁻¹ gave the highest and significant increase in seed cotton yield (2455 kg ha⁻¹) over 100 and 150 nitrogen ha⁻¹. The cotton variety CIM-506 produced the highest seed cotton yield (2568 kg ha⁻¹). **Keywords:** Nitrogen, Cotton yield, CIM-506, CIM-496, NIAB-111, BH-160

INTRODUCTION

Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L) is an important cash crop of Pakistan and plays significant role Agriculture industrial development. in generation and employment economic development of the country. It provides raw material to 1263 ginning units, 503 textile mills, 8.1 million spindles and 2622 oilexpelling units. In Pakistan cotton is grown on an area of 3.22 million hectares with total production of 14.61 million bales and average seed cotton yields of 722 kg ha⁻¹ (Anonymous, 2005).

Cotton has played a significant role in agriculture. industrial development, employment, financial stability and economic viability ever since the country attained the independence. It is the most beneficial fiber and cash crop of Pakistan and earns a good fortune for the country in the form of foreign exchange (Ahmed et al., 2009). The use of fertilizers on the responsive varieties has played a pivotal role in boosting the agricultural productivity, and nitrogen is apparently the most contributing fertilizer (Touchton, 1987). Nitrogen has been reported to increase plant height, number of monopodial / sympodial branches plant⁻¹ and number of matured bolls plant⁻¹ in cotton (Soomro and Waring, 1987; Mukand et al., 1989). Seed cotton weight boll⁻¹ and seed cotton yield ha⁻¹ have been found affected by nitrogen application at various doses (Nehra et al., 1986; Khan et al., 1993). Gomaa et al., (1981) reported a decrease in seed oil contents by increasing nitrogen application rate. The release of new cotton varieties has increased dramatically during the past few years. The genetic variability among these varieties indicates that the management practices must be amended to achieve optimum yield. Optimizing nitrogen fertilization of individual cotton varieties could be a possible way of tailoring production practices for individual variety.

To satisfy the required level of plant nutrients, farmers in Pakistan are indispensably inclined to use commercial fertilizers. During the last few years, the price of fertilizers in most developing countries, including Pakistan has reached unprecedented highs whilst supply has been limited when it is needed most (Shah et al., 1995). In most developed countries, adequate N is supplied as chemical fertilizer; however, in majority of the developing countries including Pakistan, it is not possible due to high cost of fertilizers, low per capita income and limited credit facilities available to most farmers. As a consequence, farmer either uses the available organic sources or the crop remains un-fertilized (Herridge et al., 1995). In crops like cotton, excesses of N delay maturity, promote vegetative tendencies, and usually result in lower yields (McConnell et al., 1996). Increased nitrogen rate reduces the lint percentage by 0.16%, increase in boll weight may be due to increase in N rate and increases mineral uptake, photosynthetic assimilation and accumulation in sinks Sawan et al. (2006).

^{*}Corresponding author: e-mail: laila_kld@yahoo.com

Original Article

However, Hussain *et al.*, (2000) reported that nitrogen rate had no effect on fiber uniformity. Excess application of N than the required for optimum crop performance can reduce yield or fiber quality.

Keeping in view the above mentioned facts, the present study was carried out to investigate the effect of different doses of nitrogen on the yield of different approved cotton varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted at Adaptive Research Farm during 2005-2007 for three years. The experiment was laid out in RCBD with three replications having 9 net plot size of 7.5×25.21 m. Three levels of nitrogen 100, 150 and 200 kg ha⁻¹ and four approved cotton varieties (CIM-506, CIM-496, NIAB-111 and BH-160) were tested with plant population of 58058 plant ha⁻¹. The crop was sown in the month of May with bed and furrow method to achieve the require plant population. The seed of cotton varieties i.e. CIM-506, CIM-496, NIAB-111 and BH-160 was delinted with commercial H₂SO₄ before sowing. The total supply of nitrogen fertilizer was split into two equal doses at 1st irrigation and at flowering. Thinning was done to maintain the desired plant population when plant attained the height of 15cm. All other agronomic and plant protection practices were kept similar for all the treatments. Ten plants from each treatment were selected at random to record number of matured bolls plant⁻¹ and plant height at maturity. Ten bolls were picked randomly from each treatment, weighed and averaged to record the seed cotton weight boll⁻¹. Two pickings from the whole plot for about 75 and second about 200 days after sowing were done to obtain the seed cotton yield. The seed cotton plant⁻¹ (kg) was calculated after the last picking and converted to seed cotton yield ha⁻¹. Data collected was analyzed statistically by using Fisher's analysis of variance technique. LSD test 0.05 at probability means (Steel and Torrie, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield related parameters

Plant height (cm): Fertilizer doses significantly increased plant height. Subsequent increase in N levels from 100 to 200 kg ha⁻¹ resulted in proportionate increase in the plant height in different cotton varieties as mentioned in Table-1. The taller plants (151.74cm) were recorded in CIM-496 cotton variety where 200 kg N ha⁻¹ was applied. It is well known fact that nitrogen application boosts crop growth and development. The increased plant height is the result of flamboyant and vigorous plant growth. These results are in agreement with those of Rochester et al. (2001) that plant height in cotton is related to nitrogen application.

 Table-1: Effect of different nitrogen doses on plant height (cm) on four varieties of cotton during 2005-2007.

Nitrogen level		Maan			
(kg ha ⁻¹)	CIM-506	CIM-496	N-111	BH-160	Mean
N ₁ =100	133.29	146.79	139.00	136.18	138.82c
N ₂ =150	138.81	150.99	142.55	142.87	143.81b
N ₃ =200	143.01	157.45	147.33	147.80	148.98a
Mean	138.37d	151.74a	143.07b	142.28c	

Number of mature bolls plant⁻¹**:** The number of mature bolls plant⁻¹ was significantly different under various level of nitrogen application in different cotton varieties as mentioned in Table-2. The highest number of mature boll plant⁻¹ (25.89) on CIM-496 cotton variety was observed when nitrogen was applied @ 200 kg N ha⁻¹. Significant differences were observed among cotton varieties for number of mature bolls plant⁻¹ (25.26) and all other varieties were at par. These results are similar as described by Khan *et al.*, 1993.

Nitrogen level	Cotton varieties				Maan
(kg ha ⁻¹)	CIM-506	CIM-496	N-111	BH-160	wiean
N ₁ =100	19.75	24.60	20.12	19.78	21.06c
N ₂ =150	23.31	24.31	22.25	23.46	23.33b
N ₃ =200	27.07	26.88	25.16	24.47	25.89a
Mean	23.38d	25.26a	22.51b	22.57c	

Table-2: Effect of different nitrogen doses on number of mature bolls plant ⁻¹ on four varieties of
cotton during 2005-2007.

Boll weight (g): Average boll weight is one of the major components of seed cotton yield in cotton. Data given in Table-3 indicate that nitrogen rates significantly influenced boll weight. Maximum boll weight (2.84 g) was recorded in NIAB-111 cotton variety where nitrogen was applied at the rate of 200 kg ha⁻¹. Cotton variety CIM-506 showed the lowest seed cotton weight boll⁻¹. The findings from our study agree with those of Sawan *et al.* (2006); who recorded increase in boll weight by increasing N rate from 95 to 143 kg ha⁻¹.

Table-3: Effect of different nitrogen doses on seed cotton weight bolls⁻¹(g) on four varieties of cotton during 2005-2007.

Nitrogen level	Cotton varieties				Meen
(kg ha ⁻¹)	CIM-506	CIM-496	N-111	BH-160	Iviean
N ₁ =100	2.41fg	2.43fg	2.31g	2.41fg	2.39c
N ₂ =150	2.49ef	2.68d	2.90bc	2.85c	2.73b
N ₃ =200	2.61d	2.90a	3.30b	3.00c	2.95a
Mean	2.50d	2.67c	2.84a	2.75b	

Seed cotton yield kg per hectare: Data pertaining to seed cotton yield per hectare as influenced by nitrogen and varieties Table- 4 indicated that nitrogen had significant effect on the seed cotton yield per hectare. Maximum seed cotton yield per hectare (2455kg ha⁻¹) was recorded for nitrogen at a rate of 200 kg ha⁻¹ in CIM-506 cotton variety. The lowest seed cotton yield (1981kg ha⁻¹) was obtained from BH-160

cotton variety when nitrogen was applied @ 100 kg ha⁻¹. These findings agree with the findings of Howard *et al.* (2001).These results are supported by Elayan (1992) who reported that nitrogen influenced seed cotton yield ha⁻¹ was recorded when nitrogen was applied beyond the optimum level.

Table-4 Effect of different nitrogen doses on seed cotton yield (kg ha⁻¹) on four varieties of cotton during 2005-2007.

Nitrogen level	Cotton varieties				Moon
(kg ha ⁻¹)	CIM-506	CIM-496	N-111	BH-160	Iviean
N ₁ =100	2098.1de	1816.00f	1939.60def	1868.72ef	1931c
N ₂ =150	2785.89a	2380.20bc	2027.40def	1904.16ef	2274b
N ₃ =200	2820.97a	2397.83bc	2433.09b	2168.62cd	2455a
Mean	2568a	2198b	2133b	1981c	

CONCLUSIONS

Application of 200 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen and cotton variety CIM-506 may be preferred over

other level of nitrogen and cotton varieties due to higher seed cotton yield.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. 2005. Pakistan Cotton Ginners Association. Annual Report, Multan.
- Ahmed, A. U. H., R. Ali, S. I. Zamir and N. Mehmood. 2009. Growth, yield and quality performance of cotton cultivar BH-160 (*Gossypiumhirsutum* L.). The J. Anim. Plant Sci., 19(4):189-192.
- Elayan, S.E.D. 1992. A comparative study on yield, some yield components and nitrogen fertilization of someEgyption cotton varieties. Assiut J. Agri. Sci., 23(1): 153-165.
- Gomaa, M.E., K.M. El-Sayed and M.S. Rady. 1981. Response of Egyptian cotton to nitrogen fertilizer and irrigation frequency: II Fiber properties and seed quality characters. Monoufeia J. Agri. Res., 4:189– 210.
- Herridge, D. F., H. Marcellos, W. Felton, G. Schwenke, M. Aslam, S. Ali, Z. Shah, S.H. Shah, S. Maskey, S. Bhuttari, M.B. Peoples and G. Turner. 1995. Management of legume N2 fixation in cereal system. Studies on Sustainable Agriculture and Environmental Preservation. 1994 Vienna, Austria, pp. 237-250
- Howard DD, GwathmeyCO, Essington ME, Roberts RK andM.D.Mullen.2001. Nitrogen fertilization of no-till cotton on loess-derived soils. Agron. J. 93: 157-163
- Hussain, S. Z., S. Faird, M. Anwar, M. I. Gill and M. D. Baugh. 2000. Effect of plant density and nitrogen on the yield of seed cotton-variety CIM-443. Sarhad J. Agric. 16: 143-147.
- Khan, M.D., M. Hassan, M.A. Khan and M. Ibrahim. 1993. Effect of different doses and times of application of N on cotton variety S-12 yield and yield components. The Pakistan Cottons, 37: 91–6.
- McConnell, J. S., W. H. Baker and B. S. Frizzell. 1996. Distribution of residual nitrate-N in

long term fertilization studies of an alfisol cropped for cotton. J. Environ. Qual. 25: 1389-1394.

- Mukand, S., Z.S. Brarand and P.K. Sharma. 1989. Growth and yield of cotton in relation to nitrogen rates and scheduling of last irrigation. J. Res., Punjab Agri. Univ., 26:14–18.
- Nehra, D.S., V. Singh and K.P. Singh. 1986. Effect of plant population and nitrogen levels on desi cotton varieties. J. Res. Haryana Agric. Univ., 16: 382–6.
- Rochester, I. J., M. B. Peoples, N. R. Hulugalle, R. R.Gault and G. A. Constable. 2001. Using legumes to enhance nitrogen fertility and improve soil condition in cotton cropping systems. Field Crops Research, 70(1): 27-41.
- Sawan, Z. M., M. H. Mahmoud and A.H. El-Guibali. 2006. Response of yield, yield components, and fiber properties of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypiumbarbadense* L.) to nitrogen fertilization and foliar applied potassium and mepiquatchloride. The J. Cotton Science 10:224–234.
- Shah, Z.H., S.H. Shah, D.F. Herriedge, M.B. Peoples, M. Aslam, S. Ali and M.T. Tariq. 1995. Pakistan's agriculture-cereal and legume production. J. Agric. Univ. Wales Aberyswyth UK, 75: 89-98
- Soomro, A.W. and S.A. Waring. 1987. Effect of temporary flooding and N nutrition on cotton growth in soils with different organic matter levels. Australian J. Agri. Res., 38: 91–9.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1984. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. 2nd ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc., Singapore, p.172–7.
- Touchton, J.T. 1987. Fertilizer placement and crop yields. Paper presented at the 19th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Neworleans, Washington.